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## Background

- Learning deep networks (many hidden layers) used to be difficult
- Layerwise pretraining by RBMs or denoising autoencoders helps
- Could similar performance be achieved with back-propagation?


## Proposed method

- Standard MLP (only shallow shown)
- Include shortcut connections $C$

$$
\mathbf{y}_{t}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{B}_{t}\right)+\mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}_{t}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}
$$

- Add linear transformations to nonlinearities

$$
f_{i}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)=\tanh \left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)+\alpha_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}+\beta_{i}
$$

- Alphas and betas are not learned, but set to make learning the weights $A, B, C$ easier

$$
\mathbf{y}_{t}=\mathbf{A f}\left(\mathbf{B x} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)+\mathbf{C \mathbf { x } _ { t }}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}
$$

- Separate the nonlinear and linear problems by disabling linear dependencies from $f$

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{i}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)=0 \quad \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{i}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)=0
$$

by setting

$$
\alpha_{i}=-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \tanh ^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right) \quad \beta_{i}=-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left[\tanh \left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right)+\alpha_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right]
$$

- Compensate by changing C accordingly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C}_{\text {new }}=\mathbf{C}_{\text {old }} & -\mathbf{A}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text {new }}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text {old }}\right) \mathbf{B} \\
& \left.-\mathbf{A}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text {new }}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text {old }}\right)\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] 1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theoretical Motivation

- Fisher information matrix becomes more diagonal
- Standard gradient becomes closer to natural gradient
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## Implementation Details

- Learning algorithm: Stochastic gradient
- Mini-batch size 1000 , momentum 0.9
- Transformations done initially and after every 1000 iterations
- Soft-max for discrete outputs
- Normalized random initialization, shortcut weights to zero
- Learning rate decreased linearly in the second half of learning time
- Regularization: PCA in classification, weight decay, added noise to inputs


## Experiments

- MNIST Classification
- CIFAR-IO Classification
- MNIST Autoencoder
- Image data, but nothing image-specific


## MNIST Classification



## MNIST Classification



Error against learning rate


Error against learning time Training (lower) and test errors (higher)

## MNIST Classification

- Test errors after 15 minutes as regularization methods are included:

| regularization | none | weight decay | PCA | noise | $(150$ minutes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| original | 1.87 | 1.85 | 1.62 | 1.15 | 1.03 |
| shortcuts | 2.02 | 1.77 | 1.59 | 1.23 | 1.17 |
| transform. | 1.63 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.10 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ |





Histograms of $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ in the first hidden layer. Examples of $f_{i}(\cdot)$.

## MNIST Classification



- Visualization of learned weights to randomly chosen hidden units on layers I and 2, and to the class outputs $0, \mathrm{I}, \ldots, 9$


## CIFAR-IO Classification

after PCA to 500
with noise
with noise


- 500-500-500-I0 network


## CIFAR-IO Classification



## CIFAR-IO Classification

| Classification <br> \% | linear | original | shortcuts | transf. | Krizhevsky <br> $(2009)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Training error | 58.07 | 23.21 | 22.46 | 4.56 |  |
| Test error | 59.09 | 44.42 | 44.99 | 43.70 | 48.47 |



## MNIST Autoencoder



## MNIST Autoencoder



Reconstruction error against learning time

## MNIST Autoencoder

|  | linear | original | shortcuts | transf. | Martens (2010) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| training error | 8.11 | 2.37 | 2.11 | 1.94 | 1.75 |
| test error | 7.85 | 2.76 | 2.61 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 4}$ | 2.55 |
| $\#$ of iterations | 92 k | 49 k | 38 k | 37 k | $?$ |


h4-y

## h5-y



## Discussion

- Simple transformations make basic gradient competitive with state-of-the-art
- Making parameters more independent will also help variational Bayes and MCMC
- Could be initialized with unsupervised pretraining for further improvement
- How about doing classification and autoencoder as a multitask?

