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# Traditional min-max search 

- Requires a fast evaluation function
- Typically equally deep for each branch
- Alpha-beta pruning etc. allow for deeper search


## Connection Games

- Connection games are abstract board games where connectivity of game pieces is crucial
- In all of the games considered here:
- Board is initially empty
- Two players alternately place a piece of their own color to an empty point
- When the board is full, the exactly one of the players has met a winning criterion


## Game of Hex

- The goal for black is to connect the top and the bottom edges
- White tries to connect the left and right edges



## Game of $Y$

- Both players try to connect all three edges with a single unbroken chain



## Game of Renkula!

- First published here
- Pieces are place two at a time to exact opposites of the sphere
- Connecting any such pair with an unbroken chain gives a win



## What can we infer from the rules?

- Note 1: A winning chain will always form a loop around the sphere.
- Note 2: If one of the players has formed a winning chain, the other player could no longer form a winning chain even if the game continued.
- Note 3: When the sphere is filled with stones, one of the players must have made a winning chain.
- Note 4: With perfect play, red can always win.


## Different board sizes



Board 1
42 polygons:
12 pentagons 30 hexagons


Board 2
92 polygons:
12 pentagons
80 hexagons


Board 3
162 polygons:
12 pentagons
150 hexagons


Board 4
362 polygons:
12 pentagons
350 hexagons

## UCT Search

- A tree search like before, but
- Evaluations of the game state are not needed
- Instead, the game is played randomly to the end, giving a random evaluation of a state
- The tree is grown one node at a time (like in best first search)


## Tree grows by one node per play-out

O



## Which node?

- In state $s$ within the tree, the node $a$ with the highest upper confidence bound $u(s, a)$ on the expected reward is chosen

$$
u(s, a)=r(s, a)+c \sqrt{\frac{\log n(s)}{n(s, a)}}
$$

- $r(s, a)$ is the current estimate of the reward
- $n(s, a)$ is the count of how many times the action a has been chosen in state $s$ out of $n(s)$ times the state has been visited
- $c$ is a constant for which we used the value 1


## Properties of UCT

- Play-out analysis avoids the estimation of a game state
- In connection games, the estimation is difficult (compare to piece count in chess)
- Using upper confidence gives a balance between exploration and exploitation: actions with good reward are chosen more often, but actions that are not explored much become interesting as the confidence is low


# Heuristics for Connection Games 

- Playing the game to the end in these games is equivalent to filling out the rest of the board with random colored pieces - this is faster
- For the latest leaf node it does not make any difference which of the fill-out moves is counted as the first one $a$ - we can update all of them at once!
- As the fill-out phase is fast, it can be useful to do more than one fill-out at once


## Bamboo connection heuristic

- Bamboo connections are a simple shape that reappears very often in these games
- Connection can be kept intact and it is often wise to do so

- We recognize the shape and fill them with one stone of each color - this makes the program play stronger


## Try them out!

- Implementation for Renkula! is available at www.nbl.fi / ~nb1924 / renkula/
- Implementations of Hex, Y, and *Star are at www.cis.hut.fi / praiko / connectiongames /

